

EXHIBIT A

Ambrosino

Q 1 OK

- Q 2 Post-law school

Q 3 OK

Q 4 OK

Q 5 Don't agree

Q 6 OK

Q 7 What info ~~City Councillors~~
Asked Mayor

Q 8 OK

Q 9 Looked at again / look at
Meas file.

Q10 Meant to strike
"reval assessment"

Q11 Meant to make
more explicit

Q12 Meant to make
more explicit

Q13 OK

Q14 Don't agree (5 all over)
again

Q15 Check file -
non-client
communicators.

Q16 Full MEAD file.

Q17 ~~MAILED~~ all done

90 - 2002

Q18

Reardon

Q 1 OK Don't agree on
~~eg~~

Q 2 Don't agree

Q 3 Don't agree

Q 4 OK

Q 5. OK

Q 6. OK

Q 7. Don't agree

Q 8. Don't agree

Q 9. ???

Q 10. OK

Q 11. OK

Q 12 ???

Q 13 Duty to investigate

Q 14 ???

Q 15 Shift schedule

Former employees - non-Aberson client
address ??
Residential

Privacy issues?

Q 16 OK

Q 17 OK

Q 18 Amend to make
more explicit
(ambrosino # 11)

Q 19 OK

Q 20 OK

Q 21 Pre-existing loss
provided by PD
- Amend

Q 22 90 - 2002

Arbosinus #17

Q 23 Arbosinus #15

Q 24 Same as #15

Q 25 Full Meas
Arbosinus #16

Q 26. Sex & Race

90 - 85